

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 01/11/2001 105261.01 7813 09/757,470 Nobuhiro Fujinawa EXAMINER 25944 02/26/2004 7590 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC SENFI, BEHROOZ M P.O. BOX 19928 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

> 2613 DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.   Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Examiner   Behroot Senf   2613   | `:  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
| Behrooz Serfi  | Office Action Summary   | 09/757,470   | FUJINAWA, NOBUHIRO   |  |
| The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertained of time may be a variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(0), in no event, towever, may a reply be timely field after SIX (p) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.  Beth control of time may be a variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(0), in no event, towever, may a reply be timely field after SIX (p) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the act or extended price of the communication of the provision of the communication of the communica  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and state of the property of the mailing date of this communication.  - if No peaced for early specified above, the maximum teaching pand with the statistic printing and of thirty (20) days will be considered limity.  - if No peaced for early is appecified above, the maximum teaching pand will apply the statistic printing and the statis  |   |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING TORTE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions or many be waited under the provision of 30°CR*1 13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed steer 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - False to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by attention of the communication of the provision o |   | appears on the cover sheet with th   | e correspondence address   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the second of</li></ul> | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f tute, cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed  days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5.12 and 13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:   | Status  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)  | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s)  | ·— · _  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-11 and 14-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Other: 5) ☐ Other: 6) ☐ Other:  | ,—  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:  5) □ Other:  | Disposition of Claims   |  | ·  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some collar None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 14-16</u> is/are objected to.  | lrawn from consideration.  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  | Application Papers  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ·   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  |   |  |  |  |
| 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Repair No(s)/Mail Date  | ·   |  |  |  |
| a) \[ All \] b) \[ Some * c) \[ None of: \]  1. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. \]  2. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No \]  3. \[ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority docume  2. □ Certified copies of the priority docume  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in Applic<br>riority documents have been rece<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | cation No<br>eived in this National Stage  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:  | Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |
| 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  |   |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   | 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 08) 5) Notice of Inform  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/757,470

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al (US 2003/0128889) in view of Ogikubo (US 5,396,282).

Regarding claim 1, Maeda '889 discloses "an image reading device" (i.e. fig. 1), comprising: "an infrared component separator that separates color components of an image light flux ......" (i.e. fig. 1, unit 21 for separating the light flux 13 into four colors (including infrared), and "visible image capturing device ......" (i.e. device18 and optical 25), and the "focal adjustment device that a position of the image forming optical system relative to the transmissive original and means for image forming position decision making that determines the position of the image forming optical system ...... and control device that implements control on the focal adjustment device based upon a decision made by the means for image forming position" reads on (page 11, section 0135). Maeda '889 fails to explicitly teach "two separate image capturing device, one infrared and one visible image capturing". However the above claim limitations are well known and used as evidenced by Ogikubo '282 (i.e. fig. 2, CCD cameras 124 and 125). Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Maeda '889 and Ogikubo '282 as a whole, it

Application/Control Number: 09/757,470

Art Unit: 2613

would have been obvious to use two or more detectors (CCDs) for detecting the four separated radiant flux as suggested by Ogikubo '282 (col. 1, lines 59+).

Regarding claim 2, combination of Maeda '889 and Ogikubo '282 teaches "an infrared component detector that detects a level of the infrared component ......" (i.e. abstract, lines 3 – 4 of Maeda ') and "a correction device that detects a defect signal ......" (i.e. abstract, lines 3 – 6 of Maeda).

Regarding claim 3, combination of Maeda '889 and Ogikubo '282 teaches "a defective infrared component detector that detects a defective infrared component and a correction coefficient calculator that obtains a correction coefficient by calculating (first infrared component level) / (defective infrared component level) (i.e. abstract, lines 3 – 9 of Maeda) and "multiplier that calculates the corrected visible component level by multiplying the defective visible component level at the defective position in the transmissive original" (i.e. abstract 12 – 15 of Maeda).

Regarding claim 4, combination of Maeda '889 and Ogikubo '282 teaches "image capturing device receives the infrared component of light passing through the trasmissive original at a plurality of pixels and outputs a plurality of image signals each indicating an intensity level of the component of light received at the associated pixel" (i.e. page 3, section 0025 of Maeda).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, combination of Maeda '889 and Ogikubo '282, fig. 1 of Maeda '889 teaches LED drive circuit operates in accordance with an instruction from CPU 11 and selectively emits light, which reads on limitation "selecting either the visible image signal or the infrared image signal" as claimed.



Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 12, the limitations claimed are substantially similar to claim 1, therefore the grounds for rejecting claim 1, also apply here. Furthermore, the invention of Maeda '889 relates to an image processing method and storage medium and computer implemented program (i.e. fig. 1, host computer 1 and CPU 11, col. 1, section 0003), which reads on additional limitation "storage medium" as claimed.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 6 - 11 and 14 - 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Behrooz Senfi** whose telephone number is (703)305-0132.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chris Kelley** can be reached on **(703)305-4856.** 

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Application/Control Number: 09/757,470

Art Unit: 2613

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relative to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

B. S. B. S.

2/11/2003

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600